



The **National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA)**, passed in 1966, helps protect American archaeological sites, historic buildings, and other cultural resources. It established the **National Register of Historic Places**, a list of historically significant properties in the United States. It directs federal agencies to take our history into account when it faces potential loss or damage from development. It also provides opportunities for local communities to voice concerns.

For a federal development project (called an undertaking), it is sometimes necessary for the federal agency to conduct a historic preservation review of the affected land. This is called a **Section 106 review**. The purpose of the review is to determine if the undertaking will harm historically significant resources. Those are resources that meet the eligibility criteria for the National Register of Historic Places.

States and territories in the United States have **State Historic Preservation Offices (SHPOs)** and **Tribal Historic Preservation Offices (THPOs)**. SHPOs and THPOs advise and track agencies' compliance with the NHPA and Section 106 within their borders. The **Advisory Council on Historic Preservation (ACHP)** advises at the national level.

BENEFITS OF THE NHPA







JOBS

Based on state studies, historic preservation increases heritage tourism, property values, and local employment. According to the American Cultural Resources Association, about 1,300 Cultural Resource Management firms in the US generated over \$1 billion in revenue in 2016. In 2017, the Federal Historic Preservation Tax program added about 107,000 jobs and \$6.2 billion in GDP.

FLEXIBILITY

The language of the National Historic Preservation Act is intentionally flexible, emphasizing good-faith efforts and negotiation rather than mandating particular outcomes. SHPOs usually have 30 days to respond to a review, and that deadline is almost always met. Because of this flexibility and quick response times, project delays are rare.

HERITAGE

Through the NHPA, archaeologists and other preservation professionals reviewed over 109,800 federal projects in 2018. They discovered an estimated 27,000 historically significant resources: archaeological sites and historic properties. These reviews allow for the discovery of thousands of American places each year that help us to understand the pasts of our communities.

SECTION 106 REVIEW PROCESS



Is this undertaking:

- conducted by a federal agency;
- permitted or licensed by a federal agency;
- funded by a federal agency;
- or on federal land?

YES

NO

No Section 106 review necessary.

In 2018:



federal undertakings reported nationwide

Are there properties that meet the eligibility criteria to be listed on the National Register of Historic Places?

YES

NO

Section 106 review is complete.

28%
of properties reviewed met the eligibility criteria

TE

Could the project potentially adversely affect those historic properties?

YES

NO

Section 106 review is complete.

17%
of undertakings found effects or properties

Where there are adverse effects, can the consulting parties agree on how to proceed?

YES

NO

The agency documents the negotiation and files it with the ACHP. Section 106 review is complete.

4%
of undertakings with effects required a formal agreement

The consulting parties sign a formal agreement for their next steps. The law encourages avoiding or minimizing damage to historic properties, but it does not dictate how to do so. The federal agency files the agreement with the ACHP. Section 106 review is complete. Much of America's heritage has been discovered through the Section 106 review process.